

Group Members *Selection process*

Nicolas Fichaux, Secretariat

Objective

- o First Strategic Research Agenda and Market Deployment Strategy documents published in **March 2008**
 - § Promoted during EWEC
 - § Used as input for the SET-Plan
 - § Support to EC for next FP7 calls
 - § First Mirror Group meeting in April 2008

The whole TP agenda is based on this objective

Expressions of Interest

- q Call for expression of interest:
 - § Launched in June 2007
 - § Extended until Sept. 16th

- q A few applications until the last few days (36 on Aug. 10th, 136 on Sept. 12th, **282** on Sept. 16th)

- q Instruments used: massive emailing (22000 contacts) and personalized invitations

- q Secretariat synthesized applications

Decision-making process

q 1-month process:

- § On basis of the applications, proposal by the Secretariat
- § Chairmen modified proposal (*criteria on next slide*)
- § Executive Committee proposed changes
- § Final proposal sent to EC and Steering Committee
- § EC sent comments, leading to some changes
- § Final results published on Oct. 15th

All decision level involved on a short-time period
130 WG members, 153 TPWind members

Selection criteria

- q Balance in company category (consultancy, utility ..), public/private sector and countries,
- q Impact of organization (global, European, national/local),
- q Size of the organization (number of people),
- q Level of the applicant (high-level, medium, low).
- q Modified balance between categories :
 - § WG1: consultancy and research laboratories,
 - § WG2: manufacturers and component suppliers,
 - § WG3: utilities,
 - § WG4: developers and consultancy,
 - § WG5: manufacturers, consultancy, developers, bank/ins.,
 - § WG6: Gov. and non-Gov. organisations, national associations, developers.
- q The Chairmen did their best to meet these requirements, with the received applications

Outcome of selection process

- q End of process on time to organise the General Assembly
- q Success: 130 high-level members representing the whole industry

- q 45% of applications selected. Criticisms from:
 - § Non-represented companies
 - § Persons selected for 2 Groups had to choose

- q Changes in the Terms of Reference enable some flexibility

Updated Terms of Reference

- q Enable to invite Observers
- q Set voting rules for the Working Groups
- q Enable electronic voting
- q **Enable to involve new stakeholders (from reserve lists)**